

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/821,782	04/09/2004	Bernhard Forstl	078857.0158	1453
31625 BAKER BOT	7590 02/24/200 FS.I.I.P	EXAMINER		
PATENT DEPARTMENT			KISWANTO, NICHOLAS	
	98 SAN JACINTO BLVD., SUITE 1500 AUSTIN, TX 78701-4039			PAPER NUMBER
11001111, 111	10701 1005		3664	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/24/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/821,782	FORSTL, BERNHARD	
Examiner	Art Unit	
NICHOLAS KISWANTO	3664	

	NICHOLAS KISWANTO	3664						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE REPLY FILED 04 February 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.						
application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 4.1.31: or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing								
b) X The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, which no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.								
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS F MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).								
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.138(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checket. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropri- nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as					
	liance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be	filed within two month	s of the date of					
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).								
AMENDMENTS								
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); 								
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo								
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issu appeal; and/or								
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.						
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).								
	e amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).							
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)								
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).		•						
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: 		I be entered and an e	xplanation of					
Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).								
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to c showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	vercome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail	s to provide a					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio								
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. Other: See Continuation Sheet.								
	/Nicholas Kiswanto/							

Continuation of 13. Other: Applicant's arguments are not persuasive. Applicant argues that reference Arnold does not state that control signals are fed into latch-up detector. Arnold teaches so in column 6, lines 35-65. Applicant argues that Arnold does not disclose moving the microcontroller out of sleep mode. Previous Actions have already addressed this argument. Applicant argues that Arnold does not disclose all funtionality occurring after controller is in sleep mode, cited passage in previous Action indicate ignition of a vehicle and microcontroller subsequently enterring sleep mode.